

POMRIL.
PURE NON-ALCOHOLIC
APPLE JUICE
Invaluable for Stomach and
Dyspepsia.
Per doz. quarts.....\$7.25
Per doz. pints..... 4.65
H. PRICE & CO.,
12, Queen's Road Central.

Hongkong Daily Press.

ESTABLISHED 1857.

ITALIAN VERMOUTH
The only Reliable Brand is
MARTINI (ROSSI)
SUCCESSORS
MARTINI SOLA & CO.
AGENTS—
H. PRICE & CO.,
12, Queen's Road Central.

No. 14,403 號壹零肆肆千肆萬第 日十式月四年十亥緒光 HONGKONG, FRIDAY, JUNE 3RD, 1904. 伍拜禮 號壹月陸年肆零百大什壹英港香 PRICE, \$3 PER MONTH.

WATSON'S HOUSEHOLD AMMONIA

IS A DELIGHTFUL ADJUNCT TO
THE TOILET. AND ALSO SERVES A
VARIETY OF USEFUL PURPOSES IN
THE HOUSE.

A. S. WATSON & CO.
LIMITED,
MANUFACTURING CHEMISTS.
ESTABLISHED A.D. 1841. [a381]

CUTLER, PALMER
& CO.'S
PRICE \$11.00 PER DOZEN
Net

"SPECIAL BLEND" WHISKY
Blend
Selected
Distillations of the
Finest Scotch Whiskies

Apply to
SIEMSEN & CO., Hongkong. [a46]

GREEN ISLAND CEMENT COMPANY.

PORTLAND CEMENT.
Casks of 375 lbs. net \$4.75 per Cask ex Factory.
Bags of 250 lbs. net \$2.85 per bag ex Factory.
SHEWAN, TOMES & CO.,
General Managers.
Ho gkong, 14th August, 1903. [a388]

VICTORIA CYCLE EMPORIUM

We are Sole Agents for the following—
MONOPOLE, FUTURE, CENTAUR, and
NEW PREMIER CYCLES. Best American
Machines in the market, always on hand
for sale. Also a large assortment of SECOND-
HAND MACHINES of various makes,
nearly as good as new, at greatly reduced prices.
MOTOR CYCLES, MAIL CARTS,
RICKSHAS FITTED WITH PNEUMATIC
TYRES and BALL BEARINGS THROUGH-
OUT. Everything in the trade always kept in
stock. First-class workmanship guaranteed in
all branches of the business. Re-ensembling a
speciality.
McKIDY & CO.,
43 & 41A, Queen's Road East.
[a389]

ROYAL AERATED WATERS MANUFACTORY.

PRODUCE the Highest Class AERATED
WATERS in the Far East on account
of their High Class Machinery and also of the
superior ingredients they use in the manufacture
of their goods, and the cleanliness, &c., are all
under strict supervision of Europeans only.

REPORT OF AN EXPERT.
The representative of Messrs. BRATBY and
HINCHLIFFE, LIMITED, Aerated Water
Engineers and Chemists, Manchester, visited our
factory recently in the course of a tour amongst
Eastern Aerated Water Makers, and was greatly
surprised at the compactness of our factory and
also the methodical way in which everything
pertaining to the making of Aerated Waters
was carried out. He also expressed himself
strongly on the absolute cleanliness of our
whole establishment, which he assured us was
equal to any he had yet visited and superior to
a great many. He also reported that the
quality of our goods was of a first-class nature,
and they showed that scrupulous care was
exercised in the course of their manufacture.

Order Books and Price List. Please apply to
FACTORY and OFFICE, West Point. Tel.
367. Depot, Ice House Street. Tel. 371.
Dr. V. DANENBERG & F. DANENBERG,
General Managers.
Hongkong, 11th May, 1904. [a322]

RUSSO-JAPANESE WAR FUND.

(1) Providing additional comforts in Hospital
treating all sick and wounded from the War.
(2) In aid of the families of Japanese killed
in the War.
It is proposed to close the above Fund on
SATURDAY, 4th June, 1904, and intending
Subscribers are therefore requested to kindly
notify the undersigned on or before that date.
J. R. M. SMITH,
Hon. Treasurer.
Hongkong, 30th May, 1904. [a384]

DAVID CORSAIR & SONS
MERCHANT NAVY
NAVY BOILED
ONG FLAX
RELIANCE CROWN
TARPAULING
ARNHOLD, KARBERG & CO.
Sole Agents
[a386]

CARTRIDGES.

IMPORTED EVERY MONTH, THERE-
FORE ALWAYS FRESH

REY'S, SCHULTZ'S, AMBERITE
and KYNOC'S SPORTING
CARTRIDGES 8, 10, 12, 16, and 20 BORE,
and NEWCASTLE CHILLED SHOT in
all Sizes, Nos. 10 to 8SSG. AIR GUNS and
AMMUNITION in Variety.
W. M. C. & CO.
Hongkong 28th November, 1902

CUTLER, PALMER & CO.

ESTABLISHED IN LONDON IN 1815.

SHIPPERS TO CHINA FOR 75 YEARS.

Their Brands are favourably known all over the World.

The following are some of their Stocks with the undersigned—

SUPERB OLD COGNAC,
\$23.50 PER DOZ.

Distinguished by Four Stars on the label.

ANOTHER FINE COGNAC, \$18.50 per doz.

Less old than the above

IMPERIAL BRANDY
\$12.00 PER CASE.

THE ELITE OF WHISKY—
THE "PALL MALL."
\$21 PER DOZ.

11 Years old: the finest quality shipped.

Each bottle bears an Analyst's certificate.

C. P. & Co.'s OWN SPECIAL
BLEND WHISKY,
\$11.00 PER DOZ.

Very soft, palatable, and mature.

EVERYBODY SHOULD TRY THESE ITEMS

AGENTS—SIEMSEN & CO., HONGKONG. [a45]

C.P. & Co.'s INVALIDS' PORT
\$21 PER DOZ.

This fine Wine is old, soft, and of grand flavour.
See analysis and certificate by Professor Cassal.

DOURO PORT.

\$15.00 PER DOZ.

A fine, full, and fruitily wine.

AMOROSO SHERRY.

\$20 PER DOZ.

LA TORRE SHERRY.

\$17.00 PER DOZ.

A natural and most pleasant wine to the taste

BENEDICTINE LIQUEUR—
D.O.M.,
\$41.75 PER DOZ. QUARTS.
\$43.75 PER 2 DOZ. PINTS.

THEY ARE UNEQUALLED AT THE PRICE

E. C. WILKS & CO.

MARINE SURVEYORS.

CONSULTING ENGINEERS AND NAVAL ARCHITECTS.

COLLISIONS AND DAMAGES SURVEYED.

SALVAGE WORK UNDERTAKEN.

SHIP DESIGNS AND SPECIFICATIONS PREPARED.

Agents for the CONSTRUCTION and SALE of STEAM and MOTOR-LAUNCHES.

CONTRACTS for NEW TONNAGE on reasonable terms with first-class builders.

A large stock of CANADIAN ASBESTOS and ASBESTOS-CEMENT Goods Kept.

Agents for Messrs. ALLEN & SONS ELECTRICAL PLANT and CENTRIFUGAL PUMPS.

Hongkong, 1st May, 1904.

Telegram Address: "MARINEWORK."

Telephone No. 358. [a353]

CONFECTIONERY!!!

THE CHOICE and LARGEST VARIETY, FROM PARIS and LONDON.

MARRONS GLACES, CRYSTALLISED FRUITS.

TOM SMITH'S CRACKERS.

KNAS PLUM PUDDINGS.

DATES, FIGS, RAISINS, ALMONDS and NUTS.

CHEESE { STILTON, CHEDDAR, GORGONZOLA, ROQUEFORT, CAMENBERT,
SAVOIE, CREAM CHEESE, MACLAREN'S and YOUNG AMERICAN
CHEESE.

YORK HAM and BEST ENGLISH BACON.

TOYS. TOYS.

A. CHAZALON & CO. [a40]

KODAKS,

FILMS,

AND ACCESSORIES.

DEVELOPING AND PRINTING UNDERTAKEN.

GOOD WORK, PROMPT RETURN.

LONG, HING & CO.,

PHOTO GOODS STORE,

17A, QUEEN'S ROAD CENTRAL.

Hongkong, 21st December, 1903. [a38]

NEW STOCK—

SUMMER UNDERWEAR

SHIRTS. COLLARS. TIES.

STRAW HATS. PITH HELMETS.

RAINCOATS AND WATERPROOFS.

LANE, CRAWFORD & CO.

Hongkong, 10th May, 1904. [a4]

W. BREWER & CO.

A DIARY OF THE RUSSO-JAPANESE WAR WITH MAPS AND ILLUSTRATIONS; PARTS 1, 2 & 3 NOW READY.

Haydn's Dictionary of Dates to end of 1903
East Lynne; Pocket Ed.: Illustrated \$17.00
The King's Ag. nt 0.90
Every Man His Own Lawyer 1.75
Unicote, Social Code; 2 Sizes 5.00
A. B. C. Code; 5th Edition 1.90
The Univalued Atlas; 40 Maps and Index 2.80
Phillips' Railway Map of China, on Linen 1.50
Rubbings of Omar Khayyam 1.90
Love Among Ruins, by Depping 1.75
The Prisoner's Secret, by Lees 1.75
Anna the Adventuress, by Oppenheim 1.75
Rear of the Ring, by Nat Gould 0.45
Metropolitan Fashions; Summer Ed. 1.90
Murray's Hand-Book to India 16.50
Breitschneider's Map of China, on Linen 6.00
Later Magic, by Hoffmann 6.00
Manchuria and Korea, by Wigham 6.00
Story of Russia, by Morfill 3.90
Story of Japan, by Murray 3.90

Ex s.s. "CEYLON."
BASEBALL GOODS. Large Variety.
SPALDING'S SUPERIOR TENNIS RACKETS.
SPALDING'S NEW CHAMPIONSHIP BALLS.
SPECIAL ONE-DOLLAR BOX OF STATIONERY.
OLD COLONY LINEN PAPER AND
ENVELOPES (Duke Size).
NEW HIGHLAND DARK GREY DITTO
(Duke Size).
CHEAP BUSINESS ENVELOPES in
Great Variety.
STEPHEN'S CARBON PAPER FOR TYPE-
WRITERS. NEW TYPEWRITER
RIBBONS.
OLD COLONY LINEN BANK PAPER AND
ENVELOPES.
BIRTHDAY CARDS. [a38]

ARNHOLD, KARBERG & CO.

LARGE STOCK

OF

LIGHT RAILWAY MATERIAL

ENQUIRIES SOLICITED.

Hongkong, 29th April, 1904. [a38]

NERNST

NERNST ELECTRIC LIGHT.

BEAUTY OF ILLUMINATION COMBINED WITH GREAT ECONOMY

AS CHEAP AS GAS!

FOR PARTICULARS APPLY TO

BDM. JOHANNSEN OR SIEMSEN & CO. [a55]



AQUARIUS

AQUARIUS SPARKLING MINERAL TABLE WATER
(made from Pure Triple Distilled Water).
AQUARIUS SILENT WATER.
AQUARIUS TONIC WATER.
AQUARIUS BELFAST GINGER ALE.
AQUARIUS LEMONADE.
AQUARIUS LITHIA WATER.
AQUARIUS GINGER BEER (Stone Bottles).

THE AQUARIUS COMPANY

GENERAL MANAGERS.

CALDBECK, MACGREGOR & CO.

15, Queen's Road,
Hongkong, 16th May, 1904. [a35]

CHAMPAGNE "MONOPOLE."

HEIDSIECK & CO. REIMS.

PURVEYORS TO THE IMPERIAL COURT AT BERLIN.

CARLOWITZ & CO. Sole Agents. [a390]

OFFICES TO LET.

WATKINS BUILDINGS

(NEAR POST OFFICE).

APPLY TO—

WATKINS LIMITED,

APOTHECARIES' HALL,

QUEEN'S ROAD CENTRAL.

Telephone 344. [a37]

THE

LAHMEYER ELECTRICAL CO. LD.

LONDON,

ELECTRIZITAETS ACTIEN GESELLSCHAFT FORM.

W. LAHMEYER & CO., FRANKFURT A/M.

FOR ESTIMATES OF ELECTRICAL INSTALLATIONS OF ANY DESCRIPTION

Apply to—

SIEMSEN & CO., SOLE AGENTS FOR CHINA. [a56]

PURE FRESH WATER.

THE HONGKONG STEAM WATER-
BOAT CO. LD., is prepared to supply
ANY QUANTITY OF PURE FRESH
WATER to the Shipping, both for Deck and
Boilers.
Call Flag W.

J. W. KEW,
Manager.

1st Floor, 37, Connaught Road
Hongkong, 13th June, 1903. [3943]

TONG CHONG & CO.

No. 98, QUEEN'S ROAD CENTRAL.

Manufacturers of Hand-made Pure

HAVANA CIGARS and CIGARETTES

They are made of best Havana leaves and

possess a mild and choice flavour.

Inspection courteously invited.

Hongkong, 26th May, 1904. [1337]

HOTELS.

HONGKONG HOTEL

A FIRST-CLASS HOTEL IN EVERY RESPECT

Elegantly Furnished Reading, Drawing

Music, Ping-pong and Smoking Rooms.

Private Bar and Two Billiard Rooms for

Hotel Residents.

Dining Accommodation for 300 persons.

Private and Special Dining Rooms.

European and Indian Curry Cook.

Ladies' Afternoon Tea Rooms with European

Matron in attendance.

Ladies' Cloak Room.

Hydraulic Elevators to each Floor.

Bedroom Accommodation—131 rooms.

Electric Lighting throughout. Electric Fans

in Rooms, if required.

Hot and Cold Water throughout.

Wines and Groceries specially imported by

the Hotel Co.

Wines cooled by Hotel refrigerators.

Hotel Linen washed on Premises by

machinery.

Fire Extinguishing Mains and Emergency

Exits on every floor.

MODERATE CHARGES! NO EXTRAS!

H. HAYNES,
Manager. [a48]

THE

PEAK HOTEL.

Admirably Situated. Sheltered from the

North-East Monsoon and Open to the South

West Monsoon.

A COVERED GANGWAY LEADS

FROM THE TRAMWAY TERMINUS

INTO THE HOTEL.

Telephone No. 29.

Town Office: 7, DUNDRELL STREET. [a914]

KING EDWARD HOTEL.

A HIGH CLASS PRIVATE HOTEL.

Ladies' Afternoon Tea-Rooms.

Private Bar and Billiard-Rooms.

Hot and Cold Water throughout.

Electrically Lighted. Electric Fans (if

required).

Electric Passenger Elevator to each floor.

Table D'Hôte at separate tables.

For Terms, &c., apply to the—

MANAGER.
Hongkong, 10th June 1903. [a1082]

CONNAUGHT HOUSE.

A FIRST CLASS HOTEL Situated near

the Banks and Principal Offices.

Excellent Cuisine and Wines.

Large and lofty Rooms. Elegantly Furnished

Hydraulic Elevator, hot and cold water

throughout.

Special Rates for Tourists.

Launch Service for Guests.

For Terms, apply to the

MANAGER.
Hongkong, 31st October, 1902. [a49]

MACAO

AND

CANTON

HOTELS.

A LITTLE CHANGE.

THE Round Trip from HONGKONG

to MACAO, thence to CANTON and back to

Hongkong, will be found interesting and

enjoyable.

WM. FARMER,
Proprietor. [a1362]

"BOA VISTA"

(HOTEL-SANTARUM OF SOUTH

CHINA)

MACAO

HAS been re-opened under European

management and most strict supervision

as to food, cleanliness, and hygiene of the place.

All comforts of a home.

A most pleasant resort for these desirous of

a few days rest and quiet.

Comfortable accommodation for travellers

paying a visit to the historical and picturesque

colony of Macao.

MACAO is 40 miles south-west of Hongkong.

One steamer (s.s. *Hongkong*), daily to and

from Hongkong, and two steamers to and from

Canton, give easy communication with both

these centres.

Cable Address—"BOA VISTA."

For Terms, apply to

THE MANAGER.

INTIMATION



A. S. WATSON & CO.,

LIMITED

NOTICE OF REMOVAL.

The BUSINESS of the HONGKONG DISPENSARY is now being CARRIED ON at ALEXANDRA BUILDINGS, DES VOUX ROAD.

The HOURS of BUSINESS of the HONGKONG DISPENSARY in its new premises are as follows:—

Week Days, 8.30 A.M. to 4 P.M.
Saturday, 8.30 A.M. to 2 P.M.
Sunday, 10 A.M. to 1 P.M.

An Assistant will be on duty at all times to dispense prescriptions.

A. S. WATSON & CO., LIMITED.

NOTICE TO CORRESPONDENTS

Only communications relating to the new columns should be addressed to THE EDITOR. Correspondents must forward their names and addresses with communications addressed to the Editor, not for publication, but as evidence of good faith. All letters for publication should be written on one side of the paper only. No anonymous signed communications that have appeared in other papers will be inserted. Orders for extra copies of Daily Press should be sent before 11 a.m. on day of publication. After that time the supply is limited. Only supplied for Cash. Telegraphic Address: PRESS. Codes: A.B.C. 5th Ed. Lieber's P.O. Box, 33. Telephone No. 12.

BIRTH.

On the 25th May, at Kentworth, Barker Road, Singapore, the wife of J. L. VAS HOUTER, of a son.

The Daily Press.

HONGKONG OFFICE: 14, DES VOUX ROAD, C.I.
LONDON OFFICE: 131, FLEET STREET, E.C.

HONGKONG, 3RD JUNE, 1904.

The little war with Tibet into which we have been forced by the hostile attitude of the natives and the warlike reception accorded to the British mission to the Dalai Lama, seems to be developing into an obstinate contest to prevent their reaching Lhasa. It was, of course, well known that the Tibetan authorities would refuse leave for Colonel Younghusband to enter Lhasa, but it was hoped that a resolute demeanour and a steady persistence in a policy necessitated by continued slights would bring even the Lamas to their senses. But it seems that once more we had reckoned without the host. The dense ignorance which wraps the Lhasa Lamas as with a garment made them blind to the peril which they were courting in thus continually persevering in a course of slights which no self-respecting Power, however well satisfied as to the righteousness of its own intentions, could long tolerate. Moreover, the Indian Government were credibly informed that Muscovite intrigue was not only steadily undermining Chinese influence at Lhasa, but that it was also preparing the way for Russian predominance in a state on the Indian frontier which should naturally fall within the scope of British influence. The intrigue must have gone far, for where otherwise, could the Tibetans have procured their arms and ammunition?

When the Mission was first despatched by Lord Curzon—and the wisdom of sending it is now generally undisputed except by a few political cranks, who would object to any move on principle—it was hoped

that the Tibetans would soon recognise its pacific character, that the Dalai Lama would on its arrival in the country be willing to receive it, and that the Chinese Amban at Lhasa would afford all the assistance he could to its head. In most of these conclusions we have proved to be mistaken. The Tibetans themselves at first exhibited a hostile front, though subsequently many have come to see that the object of the Mission is really a friendly one, and that no aggression was ever intended. But the Lamas have evidently been thoroughly poisoned by Russian and, perhaps, also Chinese, misrepresentations, and they cling with a tenacity worthy of a better cause to the impression that the British advance is a menace to their power, and a threat to the independence of the country. This impression it will be difficult to remove, as the Chinese Amban has apparently gone out of his way to do damage to the British Mission. That misrepresentation is likely to be hard, and the British Government have no doubt from time to time to address some awkward questions on the point to the Imperial Chinese Government. The advice given by the Amban was at one gratuitous and unfriendly. They have therefore had good reason to complain of the manner in which their effort to bring about improved relations with Tibet has been received at Peking.

It is sincerely to be hoped that there will be no counsels of weakness in the Foreign and Indian Offices on this question. We have been heard, insulted, defied, and injured by the Lamas. We have been put to much needless expense, we have lost many valuable lives by the mingled distrust and treachery with which we have been faced over this question, and we think that the time for concession or even toleration has wholly gone by. The eyes of the entire Eastern world are upon us, and as we deal with the truculent Lamas so we may expect to be met in the future by other Powers, such as China. If we are to get out of this little war with credit we must be firm and just, just to our own prestige and considerate for our reputation among the frontier tribes on our Indian Empire. We can no longer afford to receive apologies and to acquiesce in requests that the Mission may stop short in its progress towards the sacred city of Lhasa. We must not only press on to that jealously guarded capital, but we must insist upon the Mission being personally received by the Dalai Lama, as any Mission from the head of a great Power to an inferior State should be received, with all honour and deference. We should also require, in the future, that the Dalai Lama should place himself under the protection of the Emperor of India, and that a representative of His Imperial Majesty should be allowed to reside in Lhasa or in some convenient centre in Tibet. We should, moreover, require from the Government of Peking an apology for the conduct of the Chinese Amban, and the withdrawal of that official from Tibet, together with all pretensions to suzerainty, which they can neither render effective nor exercise with discretion. In this way can we give the best answer alike to the secret intrigues of Russia, the treacherous weakness of the Chinese Representative in Tibet, and the insolent defiance exhibited by the Lamas. Now that the sword has been appealed to it should only be sheathed by the victor in terms consistent with his dignity and consistent with the interests of the great Indian Empire.

The Portuguese cruiser *Adamastor* left Hongkong yesterday.

Six more fatal cases of plague were notified yesterday.

The French Mail of the 3rd May was delivered in London on the 1st inst.

The P. & O. Company's steamer *Macdonald* which left London on April 29th took on board £29,781 in silver coin for Hongkong.

The cruiser *Hyacinth*, Captain W. B. Fawcner, sailed on 27th April, from Portsmouth, to relieve the *Talbot* on the China Station.

Yesterday's mail brought news of the death of Mr. Charles Palmer, senior partner in the well-known London firm of Cutler, Palmer & Co., wine merchants.

Mr. H. H. J. Gompertz, the Acting Police Magistrate, was still indisposed yesterday. Mr. J. H. Kemp, the Second Magistrate, conducted all the Police Court cases.

The P. & O. outward mail steamer *Ballantrae* arrived yesterday afternoon at 2 p.m. with both the French and English mails. The French mail was a week late owing to the strike at Marseilles.

Captain R. T. Toke, Welsh Regiment, who has been serving with the Chinese Regiment of Infantry at Wei-hai-wei, and who was with the China Expedition of 1900, has been appointed Assistant Military Attaché at Tokyo.

The appointment has been gazetted of Mr. T. F. Fennell Carls as H.B.M.'s Consul for French Indo-China, to reside at Hanoi.

The San Francisco *Newsletter* advocates a reasonable lowering of the bars of Chinese exclusion as a solution of the labour problem in California.

The Government of Bengal has notified that the regulations for the prevention of the introduction of plague by sea issued by Government will be enforced in the ports of Orissa and in the port of Chittagong against vessels arriving from Hongkong.

Referring to the recent death of Mr. A. B. Glover, of the firm of Holmes, Ringer & Co., Nagasaki, who died on the *Buena* in Hongkong harbour, the *Kobe Chronicle* says:—Mr. Alfred Glover was born in Aberdeen, and settled in Nagasaki about the year 1872, joining the firm of Holmes, Ringer & Co. Since those early days he had never severed his connection with either city or firm, his interests with the advance of time becoming closely identified with both. His brother, Mr. T. B. Glover, is also a well-known old resident of Japan. Much sympathy will be felt at the loss he has sustained.

By kind permission of the Commander of Police, the Maeno String Band will play the following programme of music at the Maeno Hotel, from 7.30 p.m. to 9.30 p.m., on Saturday next, June 4th, and will also play every Saturday during the summer:—
March "Old Glory" Carl Weber
Overture "Toucan" J. Rossini
Waltzes "Paul Jones" Ch. Gounod
Selection "Forest Song" Carl Weber
Al-Fresco "Summer Night" O. E. Sautou
Oriental March "A Caravan" Geo. Asch

The *London and China Express* says:—Mr. E. Howett, the Chairman of the Hongkong Chamber of Commerce, has done a public service in calling attention to the question of the Canton-Kowloon Railway. The matter has been in abeyance for some years, but we believe that negotiations are at present in progress between the Colonial Office and the concessionaires with the view of expediting the matter. The views entertained in the Colony and elsewhere will probably assist in furthering the matter, and lead to definite arrangements being concluded with the Chinese authorities. We do not fear the approach in the near future of a rival to Hongkong, as the distributing centre for the foreign trade of South China, but it is not wise to give hostages to fortune, however well circumstanced one may be, or to allow other interests to be created that may be either present or prospective a serious rival to the position that enterprise and energy have created at Hongkong.

An interesting action against the Hongkong and Shanghai Bank is being tried in the Yokohama District Court, the plaintiff being Mr. Dhunnaul Chellaram. The claim is for damages to cover loss incurred through the failure of the defendants to acquit plaintiff with the fact that certain moneys had been remitted to him by telegraph through the bank. The defence was that the bank received the sum of 5,000 yen, sent from Hongkong by telegraph on the 14th December last, but the address being "D. H. A." it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's evidence was that the bank had received the sum of 5,000 yen, but that it was not known to whom the money should be delivered. The plaintiff asked the defendants on the 26th of the same month whether the sum of 5,000 yen had been sent from Hongkong or not. The plaintiff's

CANTON.

FROM OUR OWN CORRESPONDENT.

THE PRESS IN CANTON.

Until a few years ago the publication of newspapers was forbidden in Canton, but with the growth of a spirit of inquiry into Western systems, a healthy native Press has sprung up. It may indeed be called healthy, for it has never shrunk from expressing opinions on both local and State affairs, as in the Shen judicial murder case, and on many occasions in provincial matters. Some time ago the writer paid a visit to the *Young Shing* offices, where some interesting information was gleaned. This paper publishes 7,000 copies daily, and is widely read not only in the city, but in other parts of the empire and abroad. The proprietor, who is now in America, is an intelligent and able gentleman, who has spent many years in Europe and the United States. He holds official rank, and has never been afraid of criticising the management of affairs. The offices of the paper are situated in one of the busiest streets in the city. There is a large staff employed, and the place is kept in good order. The printing press was made in Japan, but is worked entirely by Chinese. It is hoped that before long it will be possible to make printing machines in China. There are besides five other newspapers in this city, with a combined circulation of 9,000, making 16,000 in all, so that every "literary" in Canton has the opportunity of reading his morning "daily."

With regard to the subject-matter, foreign telegrams naturally fill a good deal of space, which is shared by local items (such as provincial news and reports on the administration), and editorials. Notes from the Japanese Press are frequently inserted, so that in this, as in many other departments of Chinese life, an important part is played by the Japanese in the awakening of China. There is every prospect, from what can be gathered, of large additions to both the circulation of existing papers and to the actual number. The large provincial centres will in time be invaded by the Press, which already holds a definite position in Cantonese life.

PLEAGUE IN CANTON.

With the coming of the hot weather plague has appeared in Canton, and it seems this year to be a good deal severer than during the past few years. The whole city is not affected, but Homan Island, where two years ago cholera was so prevalent, is again bearing the brunt of the epidemic. Mr. Ngoy, whose death was reported last week, succumbed in Pao, which is on the opposite side of the river from Shamoon. The southern quarter appears to be free, but in the north, where it might least be expected, the bell disease is playing havoc. In one street—that leading to the North gate—seventy deaths have taken place during the last few weeks. There is, however, little danger for foreigners.

THE TRAINING OF SCHOOL TEACHERS.

The successful candidates in the examination recently held will be sent to Europe and Japan in a fortnight's time. They will be allowed \$1,000 a year each, and will remain abroad for 5 years, when they will return as teachers to this city.

POLICE COURT.

Thursday, 2nd June.

DEPOSED MR. J. H. KEMP (SECOND POLICE MAGISTRATE).

ROBBING THE ADMIRALTY.

Two men were charged with stealing stores from the Naval Yard at Kowloon. One was sentenced to three months' hard labour, and six hours' stocks, while his companion was awarded 14 days' imprisonment.

DEAD BODIES.

An opium-divan keeper, charged with failing to report a death at his establishment, was fined \$25 or one month's imprisonment.

A Chinese woman was fined \$25 or one month's imprisonment for removing the dead body of her daughter, a child, without an order. She was arrested at West Point while carrying the body.

A HYDRANT TAPPED.

A man charged with taking water from a fire hydrant was fined \$3.

POLLUTING WATER.

For polluting the water in the Taitau Reservoir, by washing clothes there, a man was fined \$15 or one month.

A COSTLY ARTICLE.

A new arrival from Canton was fined \$15 with the alternative of a month's imprisonment for unlawful possession of an umbrella.

ROBBERIES AND VAGABONDS.

Several rogues and vagabonds were brought before the Magistrate and sentenced to various short terms of imprisonment. They were arrested at Wanchai.

FORGED OPIUM CHECKS.

Within the last few days several natives have been charged with having considerable quantities of illicit opium in their possession, and were in each case fined ten times the value of the amount of the drug they had. One man was also ordered to be placed in the stocks for one hour. What is more serious some men have been charged with forging the Opium Farmer's chop. One or two cases of this description will come before the Court at next Criminal Session.

WEATHER REPORT.

The Hongkong Observatory yesterday issued the following report:

On the 2nd at 11.35 a.m. The barometer has risen slightly at nearly all stations.

The greatest pressure is now found in Japan and the Gulf of Bechli.

Gradients are still very slight on the China Coast and light variable winds will prevail in the Formosa Channel and moderate NE winds in the northern part of the China Sea.

The northern depression remains to the north of Shanghai and is nearly stationary.

Forecast:—Moderate E to NE winds, fine.

HONGKONG SANITARY BOARD.

A meeting of the Sanitary Board was held yesterday afternoon in the Board Room. Hon. Dr. J. M. Atkinson presided, and there were also present Hon. A. W. Brevin, Registrar-General; Mr. Fung Wa Chiu; Colonel W. E. Webb, R.A.M.C.; Mr. A. R. Hewart; Dr. W. W. Pollock, K.C.; Mr. E. A. Hewett; Dr. F. J. Bailey, Captain, Superintendent of Police; Dr. Barnett; and Mr. T. A. Hammer (Acting Secretary).

The minutes of the last meeting were confirmed.

OLD WELLS IN QUEEN'S ROAD.

A minute by the Medical Officer of Health, recommending that certain old wells in Nos. 122 and 126, Queen's Road Central be closed, was discussed.

Mr. LAU CHU PAK said it was not reasonable to close any more wells unless they could be made to supply water. If the Chinese did drink this water they boiled it first. He personally was driven to use well water when he was unable to get any from the mains. He proposed the wells be not closed until there was a proper supply of water for washing purposes.

Mr. A. RUMJAHN said the places were, first-class eating houses that required water to wash pots, etc. The wells should not be closed while there was an intermittent supply. Let the matter be brought forward when there was a good water supply.

Mr. E. A. HEWETT strongly recommended that the wells be closed. The question was: Did the Government supply enough water? When there was a short supply of water at the eating houses the well water would be largely used for potable purposes. They ought to order that these wells be closed at once.

Mr. LAU CHU PAK contended that the water was better than that in mosquito breeding nullahs where people baled out water.

On a vote being taken it was carried that the well be closed. Messrs. Lau Chu Pak and A. R. Hewart voting against it.

NULLAH AT THE RACECOURSE.

Dr. Barnett, in a minute, recommended that the nullah running by the west side of the Racecourse be trained.

Hon. Registrar-General intimated: It would be much better, where the Board is called upon to recommend expenditure for an object like this, if it had placed before it a general report and not one on a particular object. The M.O.H. should invite the attention of the Board to all nullahs, the training of which he thinks advisable, and the Board can then decide which work it considers most pressing.

Mr. E. A. Hewett intimated: I agree with the Registrar-General. The two nullahs running into the Parade Ground at the Peak are swarming with mosquitoes, which must adversely affect the health of the men at Mount Austin Barracks.

Mr. A. RUMJAHN intimated: Every nullah in the Colony should be trained. The nullah at Magazine Gap should have been trained long ago. I hope the Medical Officer of Health will make a general report on all untrained nullahs.

Hon. H. E. Pollock intimated: This nullah clearly ought to be trained.

Col. Webb intimated: I think this nullah should be trained.

Mr. LAU CHU PAK intimated: As a matter of fact every nullah ought to be trained.

Hon. D.P.W.: This is entirely a matter of funds. The Government has spent a large amount this year, and have other nullahs equally objectionable in view for further training.

The PRESIDENT proposed that the recommendation be forwarded to the Government.

Mr. E. A. HEWETT proposed an amendment to include the two nullahs he had mentioned.

He had visited the vicinity and been nearly driven away by mosquitoes. A few weeks back the Peak was almost untenable. It was very bad for the troops at Mount Austin. He thought Colonel Webb would agree with him.

Colonel Webb said the troops had not been affected by these nullahs, the men being provided with mosquito curtains. The streams were examined after the rains, but they were unable to find many mosquitoes. He recommended that as they could not train all the streams at the same time they should find out which it was most important to train first.

The PRESIDENT proposed that Mr. Hewett's letter, if no one objected, accompany the report, and this was agreed to.

Hon. REGISTRAR GENERAL intimated that there were a large number of methods at Wanchai that ought to be pulled down.

Dr. Barnett said that the matter had been under consideration for the past month.

APPLICATION FROM STATION STREET.

An application for a modification of the requirements of the Public Health and Buildings Ordinance, in respect of Nos. 2 and 34, Station Street, Mongkok, came before the Board. No open space is provided in the rear of these premises, so the Sanitary Board recently issued a notice to abate the building nuisance by opening out on each story one-half of the entire space intervening between the principal room or rooms and the main wall at the back of the building, as well as by providing each story with a window of at least ten square feet superficial area, opening into the open space.

Mr. A. RUMJAHN intimated: Grant exemption. This matter was left over for further information.

A QUESTION OF BACK-YARDS.

Application was made for exemption from the provision of back yards, in respect of Nos. 140 to 158, Reclamation Street, Yau-mat.

The applicant in his letter explained that there was a 15 ft. lane at the rear of the houses, which are well let and ventilated. He understood that a block of houses close by this lot was altered in a similar manner, exemption being granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

The application was granted.

A YAU-MAT APPLICATION.

Another application for the modification of the requirements was in respect of No. 83, Temple Street, Yau-mat.

Hon. D.P.W. intimated: To obtain the 92 feet would mean setting back the wall seven feet. This would reduce the house to only 22 feet 6 inches. As it is corner one I think it might be exempted on this count.

Mr. A. RUMJAHN intimated: As is seen from the block plan, the lot is 45 feet in depth according to the scale given, with a lane about 15 feet wide at the rear, the lane being a public one. I presume, if such is the case I do not see how the open space proposed to be provided would come to 146 square feet, and the ground floor diagram showing a space of six feet to the boundary line of the property cannot be correct at the same time. I would suggest in future that full detailed measurements of the lot and the width of the lane, if any, at the rear, as well as the nature of such lane, whether private or public, should be given by applicants, so that members may easily see what is laid before them. As this is a corner lot with a public street 30 ft. wide on the side, and a lane 15 ft. wide at the rear, the building when completed should be well lighted, and I think exemption might be granted.

The application was granted.

EXTERNAL AIR.

The owner of No. 5, Shui Wai Lane applied for exemption from removing certain cubicles from his house, as ordered by the Inspector of Nuisances. He stated that he had only one cubicle on the premises, and that one opened on to a side lane with an air space of about 10 ft. in width. He was prepared to open another window in this cubicle.

This was conditionally granted.

OTHER APPLICATIONS.

An application was made for a modification of the requirements in respect of No. 39, D'Aguiar Street. It was granted.

An application was made for exemption from the provision of back-yards in respect of No. 4 to 32, Station Street North, Kowloon. It was granted.

An application was made for exemption from the provision of open spaces in respect of No. 149, Des Voeux Road and No. 137, Bulkeley Street, Hongkong. It was granted.

With reference to the latter Mr. RUMJAHN intimated: Dividing up the kitchen to form a lobby with a window opening into the lane would be preferable.

A decision was postponed to enable further information to be obtained.

LUGGING HOUSES.

Mr. E. A. Hewett, in reference to further washing accommodation in certain common lodging houses, intimated as follows: No that as it has not hitherto been the custom to provide baths I do not think we can insist upon landlords doing this. The Government should provide public bath-houses.

This was not discussed.

PEAK STATION.

Further correspondence relative to the proposed erection of a animal in the engine-house at the Peak tramway terminus was discussed.

Permission to erect an animal was refused.

VETERINARY REPORT.

The report of the Colonial Veterinary Surgeon for 1903 was laid on the table and adopted.

SERVANTS' QUARTERS.

An application was made for a modification of the requirements in respect of external air at the servants' quarters of No. 15, Queen's Road Central. This was granted.

OTHER ITEMS.

Various applications relative to renewal of licences were discussed, and dealt with on their respective merits.

The Mortality Statistics for the week ending 14th May were laid on the table. They showed the following death rate per 1,000 per annum:—British and Foreign community, 30.8; Chinese population, 22.2; Chinese boat population, 19.5; the whole Colony, excluding Army and Navy, 22.0. The corresponding week of last year showed 23.9 for the whole Colony, and the week ended 7th May this year, 20.6.

The Lime-washing Return for the fortnight ending 24th May was laid on the table. The Rat Return for the week ending 30th ult. showed that out of 325 rats caught at Hongkong 53 were found to be infected, and out of 168 rats caught at Kowloon eight were infected. This was all the business.

RUSSO-JAPANESE WAR FUND.

(1) Providing additional comforts in Hospital treating all sick and wounded from the War.

(2) In aid of the families of Japanese killed in the War.

Mr. J. R. M. Smith, the Hon. Treasurer, begs to acknowledge with thanks the following subscriptions for either (or both) of the above objects, as indicated on the subscription lists.

Already acknowledged ... \$28,527.25

Balance of Kwong Fung Fung ... 12.41

James H. Cox ... 50

J. J. dos Remedios & Co. ... 50

H. Hunter ... 50

J. C. Fisher ... 50

F. Robinson & Co. ... 50

Philip-Japanese ... 25

J. S. Perry ... 25

E. S. Joseph ... 25

R. A. Gubbay ... 25

M. H. Michael ... 25

M. S. Sassoon ... 25

Teong & Read ... 10

S. E. Moses ... 10

J. Gubbay ... 10

R. D. Vania ... 10

David Jones ... 5

D. D. Ozard ... 5

Western Mission Church, Fatsan ... 1.20

per Rev. C. A. Gaff

\$30,271.86

HONGKONG GENERAL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

At a monthly meeting of the General Committee of the Hongkong General Chamber of Commerce held in the Chamber Room, City Hall, on Wednesday, 11th May, 1904, at 3 o'clock p.m., Present:—Mr. E. A. Hewett (Chairman), Messrs W. J. Gresson, A. Haupt, N. A. Sips, J. R. M. Smith, R. C. Wilcox, A. G. Wood, Hon. H. E. Pollock, K.C., and A. R. Lowe (Secretary).

NEW COMMITTEE.

The CHAIRMAN mentioned that this was the first meeting of the new Committee elected at the Annual Meeting, and he took the opportunity of welcoming Mr. W. J. Gresson, who had not sat on the Committee before, as had the other Members.

MINUTES.

The minutes of the monthly Committee Meeting of the 12th April were read and confirmed.

RECEIVER'S TELEGRAMS.

The CHAIRMAN said that the Secretary had drawn his attention to an infringement of the Act under which the copyright of the Receiver's telegrams issued by the Chamber was protected, and he had authorized local proceedings being instituted to punish the offenders and to deter others from acting in a similar manner. The Police Court prosecution was successful, as a Japanese photographer was fined \$10 for publishing copies of the telegrams in his studio, and Messrs. Guelles & Co's delivery code was sentenced to 2 months' imprisonment with hard labour for obtaining money on false pretences by selling copies of the wires which he was not authorized to do.

PRIVY'S ORDINANCE.

Correspondence was received dealing with the rules under which the Harbour Master would regulate the conduct of the pilots registered under the Ordinance, and the Secretary was instructed to advise the Government of the Committee's approval of the rules submitted subject to a minor alteration.

COTTON CULTIVATION IN THE NEW TERRITORY.

The following reply to the communication from the Botanical and Afforestation Department brought before the last meeting was read:

Hongkong General Chamber of Commerce, Hongkong, 21st April, 1904.

Sir, I am directed to inform you that the Committee of the Chamber have read your letter of the 25th ultimo on the subject of Cotton Cultivation in the New Territory with much interest.

Hongkong having entered into the manufacture of Cotton Yarn the availability of a good supply of the raw material grown within the limits of the Colony is of great importance, and the Committee are anxious that all reasonable means should be taken to further the project.

It has therefore been suggested to the Government that Cotton seed or plants should be distributed amongst the Farmers in the New Territory and prizes offered for successful cultivation to stimulate interest in the experiment. In this way it would be possible to gauge at a small expense whether the theories advanced by you are likely to have a practical side.

Indian raw Cotton now costs locally 28 to 32½ dollars and Chinese and Japanese 31½ to 32½ dollars per picul. An inferior Cotton to that grown in Shanghai district could be readily sold locally and the possibility of a large production at a reasonable cost in the New Territory and Kwangtung Province would undoubtedly lead to the greater prosperity of the local industry and a desirable extension of Cotton Manufacture in this Colony.

In conclusion I am to state that any efforts you may make to have the warm support of my Committee, and they will be pleased to be kept informed of the experimental steps which doubtless will be taken to prove your contention.

Yours obedient servant, (Sd) A. R. Lowe, Secretary.

S. T. Dunn, Esq., Superintendent, Botanical and Afforestation Department.

Hongkong General Chamber of Commerce, Hongkong, 21st April, 1904.

Sir, I am directed to enclose for the information of His Excellency the Officer Administering the Government copy of letter on the subject of Cotton growing in the New Territory from Mr. S. T. Dunn, the Superintendent of the Botanical and Afforestation Department, and my reply thereto.

It appears to my Committee that no reasonable means should be left undone to prove whether the growing of raw Cotton within the bounds of the Colony is practicable, or not, as in the event of successful production, the possibilities of extending the Cotton manufacturing industry of this Colony will be very great, and thus materially add to the wealth of the community, while the really safe elsewhere could be found for ourselves.

His Excellency is doubtless aware of the attempt by the Lancashire Manufacturers to further the cultivation of raw cotton on British soil in East Africa. This experiment will undoubtedly increase the value of the East African Colony, and by providing a British competitor, check the violent fluctuations in the cost of the raw material now caused by the bulk of the world's crop being cultivated can be successfully prosecuted within the Colony's territory, the local market will not only emulate what is now being done at home, but mills in Hongkong would have the additional advantage of finding the raw material practically at their own doors.

My Committee beg to suggest for His Excellency's consideration that a small vote should be provided in the next Budget to meet the expense of distributing Cotton seed, or the plants, to suitable Farmers, and prizes for the three best results obtained. The proving of the experiment would thus cost little money, and if successful results are obtained other Farmers will readily realise the advantage to be gained by turning their attention to the production of Cotton.—I have the honour to be, Sir, Your obedient servant, (Sd) A. R. Lowe, Secretary.

Hon. A. M. Thomson, Acting Colonial Secretary.

LEWIS AND CLARK CENTENNIAL EXPOSITION AT PORTLAND (OREGON).

The following letter was read:—Hongkong General Chamber of Commerce, Hongkong, 21st April, 1904.

Sir, I am instructed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th ultimo and enclosures regarding the proposed Lewis and Clark Centennial Exposition at Portland (Oregon) in 1905.

The question whether the Government should take any steps with a view to this colony being officially represented at the exhibition has been discussed by my Committee and they desire me to inform you that in their opinion it is not necessary for the Government to go to any expense in the matter. The reasons which have guided the Committee to this decision are that

KODAKS! KODAKS!! KODAKS!!!

AND PHOTO GOODS OF EVERY DESCRIPTION.

We have an Establishment solely devoted to

DEVELOPING AND PRINTING

for Amateurs, where we turn out work of the best description and with great promptness.

LONG, HING & CO., 17A, QUEEN'S ROAD CENTRAL (Pew Doors East of Hongkong Hotel)

Hongkong, 10th March, 1904.

the manufacturers of Hongkong are inconsiderable and its natural products so limited that it would be difficult to form a separate court to adequately represent the trade of the Colony.

I am to suggest that it would be sufficient if the Registrar General represents to the Chinese manufacturers of silver ware, ivory ornaments, carved blackwood, etc., the advantages likely to be gained by sending exhibits. This I believe was the practice followed when the St. Louis Exhibition authorities approached the Government two years ago. I have the honour to be, Sir, Your obedient servant,

by ORIGINAL JURISDICTION.

Now and additional shafts at the Takashi Colliery have been completed and this well known best and most economical steam Coal the EAST is now produced in abundance can be supplied in any quantity.
Hongkong, 28th April, 1904. [1]

OCEAN STEAM SHIP CO., LD. AND CHINA MUTUAL STEAM NAVIGATION CO., LD. JOINT SERVICES.

FORTNIGHTLY SAILINGS FOR LONDON AND CONTINENT.
MONTHLY SAILINGS FOR LIVERPOOL.

TAKING CARGO ON THROUGH BILLS OF LADING FOR ALL EUROPEAN
NORTH AND SOUTH AMERICAN, WEST AUSTRALIAN, JAVA,
AND SUMATRA PORTS.

FROM	STEAMERS	DUE
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"NESTOR"	On 11th June.
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"STENFOR"	On 13th June.
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"KINTUCK"	On 17th June.
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"KEEMUN"	On 25th June.
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"MOYUN"	On 1st July.
GLASGOW and LIVERPOOL	"DARDANUS"	On 14th July.

HOMEWARDS.

FOR	STEAMERS	TO SAIL
LONDON and ANTWERP.	"DEUCALION"	On 7th June.
GENOA, MARSEILLES and LIVERPOOL	"TEENKAI"	On 15th June.
LONDON and ANTWERP.	"AGAMEMNON"	On 21st June.
LONDON and ANTWERP.	"YANGTZE"	On 3th July.
LONDON and ANTWERP.	"KINTUCK"	On 19th July.
GENOA, MARSEILLES and LIVERPOOL	"KEEMUN"	On 25th July.
LONDON and ANTWERP.	"MOYUN"	On 2nd August.

TRANS-PACIFIC SERVICE.

FOR	STEAMERS	TO SAIL
VICTORIA, SEATTLE, TACOMA, and all PACIFIC COAST PORTS, via NAGASAKI, KOBE & YOKOHAMA.	"STENTOR"	On 15th June.
	"OANFA"	On 17th July.

For Freight, apply to—
BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE,
AGENTS.

Hongkong, 30th May, 1904.

CHINA NAVIGATION CO. LIMITED.

FOR	STEAMERS	TO SAIL
CEBU and ILOILO	"KAIFONG"	On 3rd June, 4 P.M.
MANILA	"TAMING"	On 3rd June, Noon.
SHANGHAI	"WHAMPOA"	On 4th June.
SWATOW, CHEFOO and TIENTSIN	"CHITLI"	On 5th June.
SHANGHAI	"WUCHANG"	On 6th June.

THURSDAY ISLAND, COOK TOWN, CAIRNS, TOWNSVILLE, BRISBANE, SYDNEY and MELBOURNE.

KOBE. The attention of Passengers is directed to the superior accommodation offered by these steamers, which are fitted throughout with Electric Light. Unrivalled Table. A duly qualified Surgeon is carried.

Taking Cargo on through bills of lading to all Yangtze and Northern China Ports.

Taking Cargo and Passengers at through rates for all New Zealand Ports and other Australian Ports.

REDUCED SALOON FARES, SINGLE AND RETURN, TO MANILA AND AUSTRALIAN PORTS.

For Freight or Passage, apply to—

BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE,
AGENTS.

Hongkong, 30th May, 1904.

THE PENINSULAR AND ORIENTAL STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY.

STEAM FOR STRAITS, CEYLON, AUSTRALIA, INDIA, ADEN, EGYPT, MEDITERRANEAN PORTS, PLYMOUTH AND LONDON.

THROUGH BILLS OF LADING ISSUED FOR BATAVIA, PERSIAN GULF, CONTINENTAL, AMERICAN AND SOUTH AFRICAN PORTS.

THE Steamship

"SIMLA."

Captain F. R. Summers, carrying His Majesty's Mails, will be despatched from this for Bombay, etc., on SATURDAY, the 4th JUNE, at Noon, taking passengers and cargo for the above ports.

Silk and Valuables, all cargo for France, and Tea for London (under arrangement) will be transhipped at Colombo into a steamer proceeding direct to Marseilles and London; other cargo for London, etc., will be conveyed via Bombay.

Passengers will be received at this Office until 4 P.M. the day before sailing. The contents and value of all packages are required.

Shippers are particularly requested to note the terms and conditions of the Company's Bills of Lading.

For further particulars, apply to

E. A. HEWETT,
Superintendent.

Hongkong, 23rd May, 1904.



AUSTRIAN LLOYD'S STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY.

STEAM FOR Fiume and Trieste (Direct), calling at SINGAPORE, PENANG, COLOMBO, BOMBAY, ADEN, SUEZ and PORT SAID.

Taking Cargo at through rates to the BRAZIL, SOUTH AFRICA, PERSIAN GULF, RED SEA, BLACK SEA, LEBANT, VENICE and ADRIATIC PORTS.

THE Company's Steamship

"VINDOBONA."

Captain Cobil, will be despatched as above on WEDNESDAY, the 2nd JUNE, at 4 P.M.

For information as to Passage and Freight, apply to

SANDELL, WIELER & CO.,
Agents.

Hongkong, 28th May, 1904.

NATAL LINE OF STEAMERS.

THE Undersigned GENERAL AGENTS in CHINA and JAPAN for the above Line are prepared to issue THROUGH BILLS OF LADING for all the principal ports in SOUTH AFRICA, in connection with INDIA-CHINA STEAM NAVIGATION CO.'s fortnightly service hence to CALCUTTA. Sailings from CALCUTTA for CAPT. PORTS every fortnight.

For Freight and further particulars, apply to

DODWELL & CO., LIMITED,
General Agents for China and Japan.

Hongkong, 4th August, 1897.

NOTICES TO CONSIGNEES

OCEAN STEAMSHIP COMPANY, LIMITED, AND CHINA MUTUAL STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY, LIMITED.

CONSIGNEES per Company's Steamer

"YANGTZE."

are hereby notified that the Cargo is being discharged into Craft, and/or landed at the Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Co., Ltd., where in both cases it will be at Consignees' risk. The Cargo will be ready for delivery from Craft or Godown on and after the 31st inst.

Optional cargo will be landed, unless notice has been given prior to steamer's arrival.

All broken, chafed, and damaged Goods are to be left in the Godowns, where they will be examined at 11 A.M. on the 6th prox.

No Claims will be admitted after the Goods have left the steamer's Godown and all Goods remaining undischarged after the 6th prox., will be subject to rent.

All Claims against the Steamer must be presented to the Underwriter on or before the 8th prox., or they will not be recognised.

No Fire Insurance has been effected.

BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE, Agents.

Hongkong, 29th May, 1904.

NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.

FROM MIDDLEBOROUGH, HAMBURG, LONDON AND STRAITS.

THE Steamship

"PLINTSHIRE."

Captain J. M. Haffner, having arrived from the above ports, Consignees of Cargo are hereby informed that their Goods are being landed at their risk into the Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Company, Limited, at Kowloon, and at risk at Consignees' risk and expense.

No Claims will be admitted after the Goods have left the Godowns, and all Goods remaining undischarged after the 7th inst., will be subject to rent.

All broken, chafed, and damaged Goods are to be left in the Godowns, where they will be examined on the 7th inst., at 2 P.M.

No Fire Insurance has been effected.

Bills of Lading will be countersigned by SHEWAN, TOMES & CO., Agents.

Hongkong, 1st June, 1904.

NIPPON YUSEN KAISHA.

NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.

FROM MIDDLEBOROUGH, ANTWERP, LONDON AND PORTS.

THE Company's Chartered Steamship

"BORDER KNIGHT."

having arrived from the above ports, Consignees of Cargo are hereby informed that their Goods are being landed and placed at their risk in the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Company's Godown at Kowloon, where each consignment will be sorted out mark by mark and delivery can be obtained as soon as the Goods are landed.

Optional Goods will be carried on unless instructions are given to the contrary before Noon, To-day, the 1st inst.

Goods not cleared before the 7th inst., will be subject to rent.

All ship-damaged packages must be left in the Godowns and notices of same sent to this Office before the 10th inst., or claims in connection therewith will not be recognised.

No Fire Insurance will be effected.

NIPPON YUSEN KAISHA.

Hongkong, 1st June, 1904.

BUDWEISER BEER

EXTRA PALE LAGER IN CLEAR BOTTLES, OF UNIVERSAL POPULARITY.

ANHEUSER BUSCH BREWING ASSOCIATION, ST. LOUIS.

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

BEER

HONGKONG BUSINESS DIRECTORY.

JEWELLERS

MAISON LEVY HERMAN'S

Diamond Merchants and Watchmakers, 40 Watson's Building, Queen's Road, A.H.O. at Shanghai, Manila, Paris and Hongkong.

PHOTOGRAPHER

M. MUMEYA, JAPANESE ARTIST.

Bromide and Cyanos Enlargements and also colouring Photos and relief Photos. Views of China and Manila. Work done for Amateurs, No. 8A, Queen's Road Central.

STOREKEEPERS

F. BLACKHEAD & CO.,

Navy Contractors, Sailmakers, Provision and Coal Merchants, Sole Agents for Hartmann, Hahn's, Gendia, Comp position Red and Brand.

ISMARCK & CO.,

Navy Contractors, Ship Chandeliers, Provision and Coal Merchants, Sailmakers, &c. Fresh Water supplied to Vessels in the Harbour.

KWONG SANG & CO.,

Shipchandlers, Sailmakers, Provisioners, Coal Merchants, Hardware, Engineers, Tools, Brass and Iron Merchants, 144, Des Vaux Road.

WEI CHEETOO & CO.

IMPORTERS, EXPORTERS

GENERAL COMMISSION AGENTS.

SPECIALITY: HUMAN HAIR.

No. 12, Pottinger Street, Hongkong.

Agencies:—

CHEE CHEONG, Dealer in Human Hair.

SHEN LEUNG, Preserved Ginger Factory.

CHOW LEUNG YAT, Fl & Crackers Factory.

Hongkong, 1st June, 1904.

VICHY'S

GENUINE NATURAL MINERAL WATER

HOPITAL, Diseases of the Stomach

GRANDE-GRILLE, Liver complaints

CELESTINS, Gout, Gravel, Diabetes

VICHY-ETAT LOZENGES

with the natural salts extracted from the Waters

COMPRIMES VICHY-ETAT

Attracting any one to prepare oneself

BEWARE OF FORGERY

2533

GRACA & CO.,

DEALERS IN FOREIGN AND COLONIAL

POSTAGE STAMPS AND VISION

POST CARDS.

No. 58, PRINCE STREET, HONGKONG.

Will be glad to send STAMPS on approval to any address on receipt of satisfactory references.

Are also prepared to purchase used POSTAGE STAMPS in Large or Small Quantities for Cash.

AGENTS WANTED.

QUAN WAH & CO.

GRANITE MERCHANT CONTRACTORS.

Dealers in

MARBLE and GRANITE

MONUMENTS.

No. 1, QUEEN'S ROAD EAST.

Estimates, Designs & Prices on Application.

All descriptions of Granite for Export.

Hongkong, 17th October, 1899.

SIENTING.

SURGEON DENTIST.

No. 19, D'AGUIAR STREET.

TERMS VERY MODERATE.

Consultation Free.

Hongkong, 31st March, 1903.

THE AMERICAN SYSTEM

OF

DENTISTRY.

DE. M. H. CHAUN.

37, DES VAUX ROAD CENTRAL, HONGKONG.

From the University of Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

Hongkong, 3rd June, 1904.

JAPAN COALS.

HEAD OFFICE—1, SUGA-CHO, TOKYO.

LONDON BRANCH—34, LIME STREET, E.C.

HONGKONG BRANCH—PRINCE'S BUILDINGS, ICE HOUSE STREET.

OTHER BRANCHES:

New York, San Francisco, Hamburg, Bombay, Singapore, Soolahaya, Manila, Amoy, Shanghai, Chefoo, Tientsin, Newchwang, Port Arthur, Seoul, Chemulpo, Yokohama, Yokosuka, Nagoya, Osaka, Kobe, Kure, Shimoda, Moji, Wakatsuki, Karatsu, Nagasaki, Kuchinotsu, Suway, Matsuyama, Hakodate, Taipeh, &c.

Telegraphic Address: "MITSUI" (A.B.C. and A.I. Codes).

CONTRACTORS OF COAL to the Imperial Japanese Navy and Armada and the Suez Railway; Principal Railway Companies and Industrial Works; Home and Foreign Mail and Freight Steamers.

SOLE PROPRIETORS of the Famous Mitsui, Tagawa, Yamano and Ida Coal Mines; and SOLE AGENTS for Hokkaido, Honshu, Kure, Fukuoka, Yamaguchi, Hiroshima, Onoda, Otsu, Sasahara, Tanabara, Yoshinaka, Yoshida, Yumoto, and other Coal.

MINAMI, Manager, Hongkong.

Good morning! Have a Cigar?

MEXICAN PLANTERS.

Thank you! This Mexican IS nice!

Yes. But see that the signature of DRESSLHUY and NIEUWEN.

HUYSEN is on every box, and do not be put off with any other.

3120-9

AMOI ENGINEERING CO., LD., AMOI

CALL FLAG E.

REPAIR WORK to Steamers and Launches. Castings in Brass and Iron. Moderate charges. Work solicited.

J. D. EDWARDS, Manager.

Amoy, 3rd December, 1903.

HIRANO WATER.

THE QUEEN OF TABLE WATERS.

PURE, SPARKLING, INVIGORATING.

THE LEADING MINERAL WATER OF THE EAST.

Bottled in Japan by H. E. REYNOLD & CO.

Beware of JAPANESE IMITATIONS.

F. BLACKHEAD & CO., AGENTS.

Hongkong, 31st July, 1903.

"THE EAST OF ASIA."

(Published Quarterly.)

CONTAINING Articles of Special Interest.

Profusely Illustrated, descriptive of the people, Customs, &c. of the Far East.

The kindly Press criticisms, both Continental and American, that the production of this Magazine has evoked is eloquent testimony of the sterling merit of the publication.

Price \$1.50.

On Sale at "THE CHINA HERALD OFFICE, Shanghai."

Messrs. KELLY & WALSH, Hongkong.

and all leading Bookellers in the Far East.

Hongkong, 14th February, 1903.

COLD STORAGE.

THE HONGKONG ICE COMPANY, LD.

have now 40,000 Cubic feet of Co.

Storage available at EAST POINT. Stores will be open at 10 A.M. and 4 P.M. daily, Sundays excepted to receive and deliver perish

JOINT STOCK SHARES.

TO LET

'TO LET

Hongkong, 2nd June.

TO LET (Immediate Possession).

2.00 NO. 1, STEWART TERRACE, the
20.00 Apply to—
THE HONGKONG LAND INV

JOINT SERVICE OF THE HONGKONG, CANTON AND MACAO STEAMBOAT
CO. LD. AND THE CHINA NAVIGATION CO., LD.

Price, 50 cents Cash, Messrs. Kelly & Walsh
or Daily Press Office
Hongkong, 31st January, 1906

**LANE, CRAWFORD &
HONGKONG.**

CLOSING QUOTATIONS.

left Singapore on Saturday, p.m., and may be expected here on Friday, the 3rd inst.
The C.C. steamer *Atholl*, which sailed from

Allow'g net to 1 cat

The C. N. steamer *Changsha* left Kobe on the 29th ult., and is due here on the 4th inst.

FROM GERMAN STATE.

The Glen Leno steamer *Glenfury* left Singapore on the 30th ult., and may be expected here for Hongkong on the 29th ult., via Amoy, and is due here on the 4th inst.

Sambia, Silesia (Aus.). 27th

From 3rd to the 9th June.

DATE	WIND	WAVE	WATER	TEMP.	WIND	WAVE	WATER	TEMP.
1	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2
2	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2
3	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2
4	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2
5	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2
6	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2
7	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2
8	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2
9	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2	SE	1/2	1/2	1/2

High Water. Low Water.

Day	Wind	Dir.	Hongkong		Height.	Hongkong		Height.
			Mean	Max.		Mean	Max.	
Fri.	3	W	11.00	11.50	2	10.50	11.50	2
Sat.	3	W	11.50	12.50	2	11.50	12.50	2
Sun.	5	W	11.50	12.50	2	11.50	12.50	2
Mon.	6	W	12.00	13.00	2	12.00	13.00	2
Tues.	8	W	12.50	13.50	2	12.50	13.50	2
Wed.	8	W	13.00	14.00	2	13.00	14.00	2
Thurs.	8	W	13.50	14.50	2	13.50	14.50	2

HONGKONG HIGH-LEVEL TRADING COMPANY LIMITED

TIME TABLE.

WEEK DAYS.
7.30 a.m. to 8.00 a.m. ... Every 10 minutes.

3.00 a.m. to 8.30 a.m. ...Every 15 minutes.
 8.30 a.m. to 9.30 a.m. ...Every 10 minutes.
 9.30 a.m. to 11.00 a.m. ...Every 15 minutes.
 1.00 p.m. to 12.45 p.m. ...Every 16 minutes.
 12.45 p.m. to 1.15 p.m. ...Every 10 minutes.
 1.15 p.m. to 1.45 p.m. ...Every 16 minutes.
 1.45 p.m. to 2.15 p.m. ...Every 10 minutes.
 2.15 p.m. to 3.00 p.m. ...Every 15 minutes.
 3.00 p.m. to 5.00 p.m. ...Every 16 minutes.
 5.00 p.m. to 7.00 p.m. ...Every 10 minutes.
 7.00 p.m. to 8.00 p.m. ...Every 15 minutes.

NIGHT CASES.

1.15 p.m. & 9.00 p.m., 9.45 to 11.15 p.m.,
 every 4 hour.

SUNDAYS.
9 00 a.m. to 9 00 a.m. ... Every 15 minutes.

9:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. ...Every 30 minutes.
9:30 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. ...Every 15 minutes.
10:30 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. ...Every 10 minutes.
1:00 Noon to 1:00 p.m. ...Every 10 minutes.
1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. ...Every 15 minutes.
5:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. ...Every 15 minutes.
6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. ...Every 15 minutes.
7:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. ...Every 15 minutes.
NIGHT CABS on Week Days.
SATURDAYS
Extra cars at 1:30 p.m. and 11:45 p.m.
SPECIAL CABS by arrangement at the
O'Connell, Fremont and Central

JOHN D. HUMPHREYS & SON,
General Managers.

Hongkong, 14th January, 1904.

"HONGKONG DAILY PRESS"
PUBLICATIONS.

DIRECTORY AND CHRONICLE.

OF THE FAR EAST \$1
 DIRECTORY OF PROTESTANT
 MISSIONARIES IN CHINA,
 JAPAN AND COREA

POLITICAL OBSTACLES TO MISSIONARY SUCCESS IN CHINA

FROM PORTSMOUTH TO PEKING,
VIA LADYSMITH, WITH A
NAVAL BRIGADE (Cruise of

H.M.S. Terrible) ...
MOUNTINGS OF NAVAL GUNS
... with the

WARLIKE EXPLOITS OF THE
MERCHANT NAVY, by J. E.

Featherstonhaugh
CALLED OUT: or the Chung Wang's

Daughter, an Anglo-Chinese Romance, by Chas. J. H. Halcombe ...
ENGLISH AND CHINESE DICTIONARY: 4 Vols.

PIER JOHNSTON

MAINTAINED SQUARE

[illegible]

SHIPPERS
OUTLER PALMER & CO., LONDON

AGENTS

LANE, CRAWFORD &

HONGKONG.

SALE for the Concerned, at 14 Des Voeux